

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,013	08/17/2001	David Barrington Everett	A31510-072817-0139	4815	
21003	7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			SMITHERS, MATTHEW		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2137		

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
		09/932,013		EVERETT ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Matthew B. Sr		2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statically received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, he will apply and will expute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION bowever, may a reply be time bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	J.  sely filed  the mailing date of this c  (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	October 2005.						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application	٦.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified	copies not receive	a.				
Attachmen	Ne)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u> 4</u> 11	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	,	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	)8) 5) <u>[</u> 6) [	<b>—</b>	otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/932,013 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 3, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Peyret's system does not determine if a card is part of a qualified set of cards able to accept loading of an application. Examiner contends Peyret does teach the use of an application loader that allows loading of an application only after a determination that the smart card (IC card) is qualified to accept loading of the application (see column 6, lines 1-44). Further, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., determining if a card is part of a qualified set of cards to accept loading of an application and determining if a card is part of a qualified set of cards to accept deleting of an application) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Based on the above arguments, the examiner maintains the rejection given below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/932,013

Art Unit: 2137

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. 5,923,884 granted to Peyret et al.

Regarding claim 1, Peyret meets the claimed limitations as follows:

"A method for securely loading an application onto an IC card, comprising:

a first step of determining, based at least upon an encrypted personalization data block, that an said IC card is qualified to accept loading of an said application; and

a second step of loading the application onto the IC card after the first step." see column 7, lines 43-67 and figures 2, 3, and 4.

Regarding claim 2, Peyret meets the claimed limitations as follows:

"A method for securely deleting an application from an IC card, comprising: a first step of determining, based at least upon an encrypted personalization data block, that an said IC card is qualified to accept deleting of an said application; and

a second step of deleting the application from the IC card after the first step." see column 7, lines 43-67 and figures 2, 3, and 4.

Regarding claim 3, Peyret meets the claimed limitations as follows:

"A method for securely loading an application onto an IC card, comprising:

a first step of determining, based at least upon an encrypted personalization data block, whether ate said IC card is qualified to accept loading of an said application; and Application/Control Number: 09/932,013

Art Unit: 2137

a second step of loading the application onto the IC card only if the first, step determines that the 1C card is qualified to accept the loading of the application." see column 7, lines 43-67 and figures 2, 3, and 4.

Regarding claim 4, Peyret meets the claimed limitations as follows: "A method for securely deleting an application from an IC card, comprising:

a first step of determining, based at least upon an encrypted personalization data block, whether an said IC card is qualified to accept deleting of an said application; and a second step of deleting the application from the IC card only if the first step determines that the IC card is qualified to accept the deleting of the application." see column 7, lines 43-67 and figures 2, 3, and 4.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,013 Page 5

Art Unit: 2137

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew B. Smithers whose telephone number is (571) 272-3876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel L. Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew B Smithers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2137